012

013

014

015

035

036

054

055

056

057 058

AVSS 2011 Submission #120. CONFIDENTIAL REVIEW COPY. DO NOT DISTRIBUTE.

Anonymous AVSS submission for Double Blind Review

Paper ID 120

Abstract

The pedestrian detection literature has been recently 016 renewed by the availability of large-scale multisensory 017 datasets, able to capture complementary aspects of the ob-018 019 jects of interest, namely, appearance, motion, and depth. In this paper, we exploit this multimodal scenario to propose a 020 new set of composite descriptors dubbed CO^2 , COvariances 021 of visual features and CO-occurrences of depth fields. Co-022 variances of visual features allow to integrate at low-level 023 heterogeneous visual cues related to intensity and texture. 024 Co-occurrences of depth fields are brand new descriptors, 025 which use range information for characterizing the global 026 shape of a pedestrian while being also able to identify its 027 occluded parts. This paper illustrates how these descriptors can be instantiated and combined together for improv-029 ing the detection capabilities, just taking benefit from the 030 proper handling of occlusions. Experimental results show 031 that CO^2 , fed into a standard discriminative classification 032 system, allow to set state-of-the-art performances on recent 033 multimodal intensity- and stereo-based pedestrian datasets. 034

1. Introduction

037 Pedestrian detection is a very important and complex 038 task for the computer vision community, with also signif-039 icant implications in practical industrial applications, e.g., 040 the surveillance and automotive sectors, to name a few. It 041 also represents a hard benchmark for many classification theories and a testbed for the usage of novel image features, 042 043 which should be discriminant and computationally light to 044 cope with real-time requirements. Despite the impressive 045 advances reported in the literature, state-of-the-art detectors seldom satisfy the strict specifications of such real applica-046 047 tions and leave ample room for improvement. In particular, 048 a recent survey on pedestrian detection classifiers [3] has revealed the importance of addressing two main problems 049 in order to reach acceptable detection capabilities for real 050 world applications, that is, the reduction of miss-detections 051 052 at smaller scales and the robustness to partially occluded 053 pedestrians.

Nowadays, the large release of cheap stereo/3D sensors poses new interesting challenges due to the possibility to exploit depth information for detecting people so as to improve the system efficiency. An important lesson from the recent literature is that combining complementary multimodal cues is vital to improve the state-of-the-art performance, and in the last few years some works addressed this issue. In general, earliest systems relied upon a stereo data pre-processing step aimed at restricting the detector usage in regions of well-defined depth, filtering out negative samples for both reducing the number of false positives and lightening the computational cost [6, 10]. More recently, Walk et al. [12] demonstrated good detection performance by using a new stereo-based feature in combination with a variant of HOG [2] adapted to disparity maps. Enzweiler at al. [4] proposed a Mixture of Experts approach, where each expert was trained with a single feature (HOG, LBP) extracted from three different modalities (intensity, depth, optical flow). The result of the detection was provided by fusing the output of each expert, thus implementing a fusion scheme at the classifier level. The same authors proposed a part-based model for human detection using depth information and motion for handling partial occlusions. To the best of our knowledge, this approach and the pioneering work of Wang et al. [13] are the only ones which tried to address the problem of partial occlusion handling for pedestrian detection.

On the same line, our work proposes a simple yet effective way to exploit the stereo information to tackle the problem of partial occlusions in pedestrian detection and classification. The idea is based on some assumptions that are valid in the detection task: i) fusing multiple cues at the raw data level and learning a single classifier on this composite feature is in general convenient; ii) visual features should be extracted locally in the image; iii) since depth information is strongly different from standard visual information it deserves an ad-hoc treatment. The first and second assumptions are witnessed by many recent detection strategies, in which local visual features are embedded in composite descriptors and fed into standard classifiers, showing 093

094

095

096

097

098

099

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

111

112

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135 136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

108 good performances. For example, Tuzel et al. [11] pro-109 posed covariance matrices of basic cues (image derivatives, gradients' magnitudes and orientations) to encode the appearance of local sub-regions. Actually, covariance matrices naturally allow to encapsulate heterogeneous features, 113 also encoding inter-feature correlations in a compact man-114 ner. Moreover, they are also robust to varying illumination 115 and invariant to rotations. 116



Figure 1. (a) The intensity map showing a pedestrian. (b) Corresponding depth map. (c)-(f) Sub-windows of intensity (up) and depth (down) maps: from left to right, head, torso, hipbone, and legs.

The third assumption comes out after a statistical inves-146 147 tigation of many stereo images of pedestrians: as visible 148 in Fig.1a-b, stereo data are able to codify the global hu-149 man shape as a well-defined silhouette over the background clutter, while they contains far less discriminant informa-150 151 tion internally (i.e., to characterize the pedestrian). In par-152 ticular, local patches of depth data are less descriptive than local patches of visual information (see Fig.1c-f), and this 153 discourages a pure local analysis of stereo data. As an ex-154 155 ample, Fig.1c-f show portions of the human body described 156 by intensity and depth fields, where the depth sub-windows look very similar, whereas intensity sub-windows are char-157 acterized by different intensity textural patterns, for exam-158 ple see the head (c) and torso (d). These considerations 159 160 guided us to design our proposed technique, which is based 161 on local covariance features for describing the visual human aspect, and co-occurrences of depth information for encoding the structure of the body and highlighting possible occlusions. We dubbed the ensemble of features CO^2 , i.e., COvariances of visual features and CO-occurrences of depth fields. The features proved to be quite expressive and compact, as well as computationally light, being very fast to compute and oriented to embedded implementations. As for the effectiveness, we fed CO^2 into off-the-shelf classifiers, setting state-of-the-art performances on all the very recently proposed datasets dealing with stereo data, considering occluded and not-occluded situations without tailoring special solutions for one case or the other. In fact, this is a first effort towards the design of detection systems working in real environments, tailored to cope with pedestrians of any structure and shape (i.e., occluded or not), not customized for a single specific pedestrian class, as many of the works published to date [2, 11, 12].

In the rest of paper, we first detail the structure of the new composite feature in Sect. 2. In Sect. 3, the pedestrian detector approach with the explicit management of occlusions is described, and experimental results on the multimodal dataset are reported in Sect. 4, showing the effectiveness of the approach when dealing with both cases of occluded and non-occluded pedestrians. Finally, conclusions are drawn in Sect. 5.

2. The CO² feature set

2.1. Covariances of visual features

Let us assume that the image in Fig. 1 (a) contains the object of interest. We define 9 overlapped regions, corresponding to the left, center and right part in horizontal direction, and corresponding to head, torso and legs in vertical direction. More details will be given in Sec. 4.

For every region, we sample a uniform set of overlapped squared patches of size $S = 12 \times 12$ pixels, called *blocks* B. Given the set of $\{N_r\}_{r=1,\dots,9}$ patches, we calculate the corresponding set of covariance matrices denoted as $\{C_i\}_{i=1,\ldots,N_r} \in Sym_d^+$ (the space of symmetric positive definite $d \times d$ matrices), where d is the number of features involved to build the matrices. In contrast to [11], we fed the covariance matrix with both gradient- and texture-based features. For each pixel (x, y) inside the patch, we extract d = 8 features, that are:

$$[x y |I_h| |I_v| \sqrt{I_h^2 + I_v^2} |I_{hh}| |I_{vv}| LBP]^T, \quad (1)$$

where I_h, I_{hh} , etc. are grey-level intensity derivatives, and the last term represents the local binary patterns (LBP) feature (8-digit binary number [8]). From the features vector in Eq. (1), a $d \times d$ covariance matrix can be estimated. The space of covariance matrices can be equipped with a Riemannian metric (i.e., Euclidean distances cannot be com-

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

puted), as in [11], turning it into a Riemannian manifold that we denote as \mathcal{M} [9].

In order to disregard the expensive computation and the complex management of geodesic distances, it is recommended to project the covariance matrices in an Euclidean space [9]. The projection has to be carried out by selecting a projection point, over which the tangent plane of the projection is defined.

The most convenient projection point from the computational perspective is the $d \times d$ identity matrix $I_d \in \mathcal{M}$. More precisely, this projection is called *logarithmic mapping* and it is a standard Riemannian geometry operator which provide a linearized version of \mathcal{M} . See [9] for more details. Since C_i is a symmetric matrix, vectorization is applied to extract its upper triangular part and to linearize the content. Hence, the projection and the vectorization translate the covariances into $\{c_i\}_{i=1,...,N_r}$ vector descriptors, such that $c_i \in \mathbb{R}^{d \cdot (d+1)/2}$. For every region, $\{c_i\}_{i=1,...,N_r}$ are concatenated and organized as a single vector \mathbf{c}_r , the multifeature covariance object descriptor COV.



Figure 2. Co-occurrence Depth (CoD) feature vector.

2.2. Co-occurrences of depth

253 In real-world crowded scenes, pedestrians appear in a continue range of poses. This variability represents a 254 255 hard issue for classical appearance-based human detection, because the appearance significantly changes in different 256 257 views. On the contrary, depth information is similar for humans standing in an upright position, and irrespective 258 259 of the point of view. These assumptions have been sup-260 ported by the statistical analysis of depth maps extracted from about 50000 un-occluded pedestrians, selected from a 261 public dataset [4]. Statistical evidence showed that the head, 262 263 shoulders and torso are usually more correlated in terms of 264 depth than legs or arms. Furthermore, the regions around unoccluded pedestrians are also usually correlated, corre-265 266 sponding to a flat background.

267 The idea underlying CoD features is to encode this depth
268 coherence of the different body parts. Given a depth map, as
269 preliminary operation, we apply a quantization procedure to

discretize the depth range. We define a minimum and maximum depth value respectively equal to 10 and 30 meters. This range corresponds to the a priori defined search area in the 3D camera set-up configuration. Out-of-range depth data are saturated on the first and last histogram's bins. The histogram is calculated using a bin resolution of 0.5 meters.

In other words, CoD features are built through pairwise comparisons of histograms of depth, calculated on regions (blocks) inside the detection window. Fig. 2 illustrates the CoD feature building process in details. In short, CoD features are calculated in three steps. The first one is the histogram calculation. Given a depth map, we extract a region D which is equal in size to the detection window of the COV descriptor. We define a regular grid of square regions of size S, of the same size of the blocks defined in 2.1. In each block, B(m, n), we compute a local histogram of depth, H(m, n), where m and n are respectively vertical and horizontal block indexes.

In the second step, we compare every possible pair of block descriptors (histograms). Each comparison is encoded as the distance between the two histograms. Experimental results, not reported here, revealed that L1 distance between histograms performs better than other distances such as L2, Bhattacharyya [1].

In the final step, all the comparisons (k=210) are collected in the CoD descriptor. These feature are employed to estimate the partial occlusions, and drives the detector accordingly, as described in the next section.



Figure 3. Architecture of the proposed system.

It is worth noting that both COV and CoD are fast to compute and suitable for an embedded implementation. Actually, covariances take advantage of the integral image representation for a rapid calculation (see [11]), and CoD do not require resource-heavy operations such as multiplications, divisions or trigonometric functions. Furthermore, there are no particular issues in terms of concurrent memory accesses because they encode information extracted from local patches (blocks) only.

322

325

378

3. The pedestrian detector

326 The inability of handling partial occlusions is one of the 327 main limitations of current pedestrian classifiers as demon-328 strated in a recent survey [3]. In our opinion, the weakness 329 of the current systems is that they rely only on intensity, 330 without taking advantage from different cues such as depth 331 and motion. Few authors have proposed effective solutions 332 to address the partial occlusion problem. One of the most 333 recent approaches [13] uses an heuristic to determine oc-334 clusion maps looking at the responses of a monolithic (full-335 body) SVM classifier. Based on the spatial configuration 336 of the estimated occlusions, they recompute the weights of 337 the linear SVM in order to give more importance to un-338 occluded regions. Ensweiler and Gavrila [4] detect occlu-339 sions by searching discontinuities on depth and optical flow 340 images, showing better performances than [13]. The system 341 is based on a component-based mixture of expert classifiers. 342 They adopt the mean shift clustering algorithm to extract ar-343 eas of coherent depth and motion. Based on the segmenta-344 tion result, they determine occlusion-dependent weights for 345 the component-based expert classifiers to focus the com-346 bined decision on the visible parts of the pedestrian. 347

Our system is composed by four modules (see Fig.3). 348 The main core is the *feature extraction* module, that 349 builds the object descriptor, one for each of the 9 re-350 gions, as described in Sec.2.1. This module is fed exclu-351 sively with the intensity image, and, in turns, its output 352 is fed to the *classifier* module. The range map is fed 353 into the *occlusion handling* module, which is a pipeline 354 of two stages designed to find the partial occlusions and 355 to drive the *classifier* module. The first stage is the 356 occlusion estimation module, that estimates the occluded 357 regions inside the detection window and produces an occlu-358 sion map. The second stage is the occlusion management 359 module, that analyzes the occlusion map, and calculates the 360 binary control signals that drive the classifier module. Here 361 follow the details of the occlusion handling module and 362 the classifier. 363

3.1. Occlusion handling

364

365

366

367

368 Our assumption is that un-occluded pedestrians have similar CoD features, representing the correlations of depth 369 among body parts. In contrast, partially occluded pedes-370 371 trians generate different CoD configurations. In an off-372 line fashion, we compute the CoD statistics of un-occluded pedestrians, i.e., the mean and standard deviation m an σ of 373 the histogram distances calculated between each block pair. 374 During the test, first we compute CoD on the test image, 375 376 and than we compare it with our parameters, producing a 377 binary label vector L:

$$\mathbf{L}(k) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \mathbf{m}(k) - \sigma(k) < CoD(k) < \mathbf{m}(k) + \sigma(k) \\ \end{cases}$$

$$\kappa = \begin{cases} 1 & else \end{cases}$$
(2)

where k = 1, 2, ... C (C is the CoD vector's lenght).

If L(k) = 1, we estimate an occlusion between the corresponding pair of blocks. As a consequence, it is possible to estimate how many elements of CoD, that refer to a particular block B(m, n), are occluded (B(m, n)) is defined in Sec. 2.2). For each block B(m, n) we count the number of corrupted CoD features W(m,n):

$$W(m,n) = \sum_{k \in B(m,n)} \mathbf{L}(k).$$
(3)

The whole matrix W can be reinterpreted as an occlusion map. Fig. 4 is a qualitative evaluation of the proposed occlusion estimation technique. It is evident that occluded blocks have a much higher W score, usually localized on the lowest part of the image.



Figure 4. First row: Pedestrian examples from Daimler Multi-Cue, Occluded Pedestrian Classification Benchmark [4]. Second row: occlusion maps W estimated using the CoD features.

We apply a thresholding to W as noise removal filtering. If W(m,n) < T, W(m,n) = 0, otherwise the block is labeled as occluded. Once the filtered occlusion map has been built, we can generate the control signals in order to activate/deactivate the region classifiers. A region classifier is activated only if *all* the blocks belonging to the region are labelled as not occluded. In practice, a control vector of 9 binary signals J is generated, one signal for each region classifier.

3.2. The classifier

Given the region descriptors, we learn a set of binary classifiers $\{F_r\}_{r=1,\dots,9}$, one for each region, adopting a linearSVM. When the 9 region classifiers are learnt, we

379

380

381

382

383

384

385

386

387

388

389

390

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

400

401

402

403

404

405

406

407

408

409

410

411

412

413

414

415

416

417

418

419

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

427

428

429

430

436

437

438

439

440

441

442

443

444

445

446

447

448

449

450

451

452

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

460

461

462

463

464

465

467

468

469

470

471

472

473

474

475

476

477

478

479

480

481

482

483

484

485

486

487

488

432 combine their strong responses into a unique classification 433 response as follows: 434

$$\mathcal{F} = \sum_{r=1}^{9} \frac{\mathbf{w}(r) \cdot \mathbf{J}(r) \cdot F_r(\mathbf{c}_r)}{\sum_{r=1}^{9} \mathbf{w}(r) \cdot \mathbf{J}(r)},$$
(4)

where w is a vector of region-dependent weights, heuristically estimated during the training phase, and kept fixed for all the experimental phase, and \mathbf{J} is the binary vector sent by the occlusion management unit. The denominator acts as a normalization term, taking into account the different number of region classifiers that can be active. The output of the system is \mathcal{F} , the classification confidence value.

4. Experimental results

The recently introduced Daimler Multi-Cue, Occluded Pedestrian Classification Benchmark [4]¹ is the only benchmark that incorporates stereo information of occluded and non-occluded pedestrians, representing thus the most valid testbed for multimodal, real-world detectors. It is composed by a single training set of 52112 positives (nonoccluded human images) and 32465 samples for the background. The benchmark is equipped with two test sets, one where the pedestrians are partially occluded (11160 samples), the other containing non-occluded pedestrians (25608 samples). Both share the same set of background images (16235 samples). All the images have size 72×24 with a 12pixel border around each sample, and have been captured from a vehicle-mounted calibrated stereo camera rig in an urban environment.Intensity, depth and optical flow maps are provided for each sample. Dense stereo is computed using the semi-global matching algorithm [7]. At the moment, the best systems benchmarked on the adopted dataset are those of Enzweiler and Gavrila [4] and a modified version of Wang et al. [13], whose detection performance are 466 extracted from [4]. For all these approaches, SVM with linear kernel is adopted as baseline classifier.



48 px X 36 px

Figure 5. Component layout as used in our experiments.

4.1. Our system

As object model, we pick the central region of 84×36 pixels inside the pedestrian detection window (corresponding to the 72×24 actual region where the pedestrian is enclosed, with a 6-pixel border around each sample). We divide the region in 13×5 square blocks of size 12 pixels, overlapping half their size. A covariance matrix is calculated on each block. The set of covariance matrices is organized in 9 sub-sets, c_r , one for each region (see Sec. 2.1). The component layout is illustrated in Fig. 5.

The CoD feature is calculated on a depth map, computing the histograms in square blocks of size 12 pixels. See Sec. 2.2 for details.

For classification we employ linear SVM, one for each region. The linear SVM classifiers have been trained using Liblinear SVM tool [5] running on off-the-shelf Intel((c)) Xeon((c)) CPU 2.33 GHz with 8 GB of RAM. To avoid memory overflow issues due to the large pool of positive and negatives training sets, bootstrapping is employed: an initial SVM classifier is trained with the positive images and 10000 background patches randomly selected from the image database. Afterwards, the SVM classifier is used to classify patches of non-pedestrian extracted from the 32465 non pedestrian samples. A set of false-positive are collected and added to the initial negative training set. The process has been repeated until no significant improvement of the performance of the classifier has been noted.

We explore the capabilities of CO^2 in detecting pedestrians, considering the two sets of test data: 1) Occluded pedestrians and 2) Non-occluded pedestrians. The performances are evaluated using the Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curve, that expresses the proportion of false positives against the proportion of true positives. The curve is estimated by varying the confidence threshold τ in the range [-5, 5].



Figure 6. Classification performance on partially occluded testset (best viewed in colors).

523

524

525

526

527

528

529

530

531

532

533

534

535

537

538

¹See http://www.science.uva.nl/research/isla/downloads/pedestrians/

564

565

566

567

568

569

570

571

572

573

574

575

576

577

578

579

580

581

582

583

584

585

586

587

588

589

594

4.2. Performance on partially occluded test data

In the first experiment we evaluate the performance of 542 our CO²-based occlusion-handling technique on the oc-543 cluded dataset. As comparative methods, we consider the 544 approaches proposed by Enzweiler et al. [4] and Wang et 545 546 al. [13]. Detection performances are reported in Fig. 6. Our approach is superior to both classifiers, demonstrating the 547 effectiveness of our covariance-based framework. The sec-548 ond best performance reported are those of [4] which are 549 based on a mixture of experts of three independent classi-550 fiers respectively trained on head, torso and legs. Our tech-551 nique provides a better performance using a much simpler 552 algorithm to detect occlusion patterns, just by exploiting the 553 CoD feature. 554

Our detector performs better especially at low false pos-555 itives rates; specifically, for a false positive rate of 0.01 556 the detection rate is increased by 15% with respect to [4]. 557 We think this improvement is due to our partial occlusion 558 handling technique and to the well-known capabilities of 559 covariance matrices to encode inter and intra-feature cor-560 relation, which is very effective especially with images of 561 medium/low resolution. 562



Figure 7. Classification performance on non-occluded testset (best viewed in colors).

4.3. Performance on non-occluded test data

In the second experiment, we evaluate the detection performances of all the algorithms on the non-occluded dataset. Detection performances are reported in Fig. 7. Even in this case, we outperform the two competitors, and this witnesses once again the capability of the covariance to capture robustly the human visual nature.

5. Conclusions

In this paper, we proposed a new set of features suited
for pedestrian detection in stereo settings, i.e., when range
information is also available. Visual features, pooled together under the form of covariances, characterize human

body parts in a robust way. On the other side, depth information is organized as co-occurrence matrices encoding the human shape, so allowing to individuate possible pedestrian occlusions. Such features, fed into a simple classifier, give detection performances on recent multimodal datasets that are definitely superior to all the other competitors in the literature, while they show also the advantage of not being customised for a specific class of pedestrians (e.g., non occluded), as many of the works in the literature to date. In the end, they suggest a interesting recipe for designing real-world commercial detection systems, especially in applications where a pedestrian is immersed in cluttered, real, scenarios.

References

- A. Bhattacharyya. On a measure of divergence between two statistical populations defined by their probability distributions. *Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc*, 35(99-109):4, 1943.
- [2] N. Dalal and B. Triggs. Histograms of oriented gradients for human detection. In *Proc. CVPR*, volume 1, pages 886–893. IEEE, 2005.
- [3] P. Dollar, C. Wojek, B. Schiele, and P. Perona. Pedestrian detection: A benchmark. In *Proc. CVPR*, pages 304–311. IEEE, 2009.
- [4] M. Enzweiler, A. Eigenstetter, B. Schiele, and D. Gavrila. Multi-cue pedestrian classification with partial occlusion handling. In *Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition* (CVPR), 2010 IEEE Conference on, pages 990–997. IEEE, 2010.
- [5] R.-E. Fan, K.-W. Chang, C.-J. Hsieh, X.-R. Wang, and C.-J. Lin. LIBLINEAR: A library for large linear classification. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 9:1871–1874, 2008.
- [6] D. Gavrila and S. Munder. Multi-cue pedestrian detection and tracking from a moving vehicle. *International journal of computer vision*, 73(1):41–59, 2007.
- [7] H. Hirschmuller. Stereo processing by semiglobal matching and mutual information. *Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, IEEE Transactions on*, 30(2):328–341, 2008.
- [8] T. Ojala, M. Pietikäinen, and D. Harwood. A comparative study of texture measures with classification based on featured distributions. *Pattern recognition*, 29(1):51–59, 1996.
- [9] X. Pennec, P. Fillard, and N. Ayache. A riemannian framework for tensor computing. *International Journal of Computer Vision*, 66(1):41–66, 2006.
- [10] A. Shashua, Y. Gdalyahu, and G. Hayun. Pedestrian detection for driving assistance systems: Single-frame classification and system level performance. In *Intelligent Vehicles Symposium, 2004 IEEE*, pages 1–6. IEEE, 2004.
- [11] O. Tuzel, F. Porikli, and P. Meer. Pedestrian detection via classification on riemannian manifolds. *PAMI, IEEE Transactions on*, 30(10):1713–1727, 2008.
- [12] S. Walk, K. Schindler, and B. Schiele. Disparity statistics for pedestrian detection: Combining appearance, motion and stereo. *Computer Vision–ECCV 2010*, pages 182–195, 2010.
- [13] X. Wang, T. Han, and S. Yan. An hog-lbp human detector with partial occlusion handling. In *Computer Vision*, 2009 *IEEE 12th International Conference on*, pages 32–39. IEEE, 2009.

613

614

615

616

617

618

619

620

621

622

623

624

625

626

627

628

629

630

631

632

633

634

635

636

637

638

639

640

641

642

643

644

645

646