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## 1 Turtle Tower

You are given $n$ turtles, and for each turtle you are given its weight and its strength. The strength of a turtle is the maximal weight you can put on it without cracking its shell. Find the largest possible number of turtles which you can stack one on top of the other without cracking any turtle. (Hint: for each turtle consider the sum of its weight and its strength.)

## Solution

This problem illustrates well several important steps involved in applying DP.
Let the turtles be denoted by $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n}$ where the turtles are in an arbitrary order, and let the weight of turtle $T_{i}$ be $W\left(T_{i}\right)$ and its strength $S\left(T_{i}\right)$. We will say that a tower of turtles is legitimate if the strength of each turtle in the tower is larger or equal to the weight of all turtles placed on the top of it.

Generalizing the problem. DP involves building a solution of a problem recursively from solutions of its subproblems. Thus, instead of solving just the problem itself, we solve a whole set of its subproblems. For example, in this problem we might want to solve all of the following subproblems for all $1 \leq j \leq n$ :

Subproblem $P(j)$ : Find the largest possible number of turtles from the set $\left\{T_{1}, \ldots, T_{j}\right\}$ which you can stack one on top of the other without cracking any turtle.

Unfortunately, such set of subproblems does not allow a recursion: we would like to build a solution to $P(j)$ from solutions to all subproblems $\{P(i): 1 \leq$ $i<j\}$. However, a longest chain built from turtles $\{P(i): 1 \leq i \leq j\}$ which includes $T_{j}$ might contain turtle $T_{j}$ NOT as the last turtle in the tower. Thus, such chain is not an extension of an optimal chain for any of the sub problems $\{P(i): 1 \leq i<j\}$ because solutions to such problems are built from turtles with indices strictly smaller than $j$.

Choosing the right ordering. Thus, we have to find a proper set of subproblems together with an appropriate ordering on such subproblems which allows a recursive construction and which does not miss all optimal solutions, i.e., so that at least one optimal solution can be obtained by such a recursion. In this particular problem finding such ordering is tricky.

Claim: If there exists a legitimate tower of height $k$, there must also exist a tower of height $k$ which is non-decreasing with respect to weight plus strength of a turtle, i.e. if there is a legitimate tower of turtles of height $m$ there must be a tower $\left\langle t_{1}, \ldots, t_{m}\right\rangle$ of the same height such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
i<j \Rightarrow W\left(t_{i}\right)+S\left(t_{i}\right) \leq W\left(t_{j}\right)+S\left(t_{j}\right) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

To prove this claim we will show that in fact any legitimate tower of turtles can be reordered into another legitimate tower of turtles which is non-decreasing. Thus, let $\left\langle t_{1}, \ldots, t_{m}\right\rangle$ be any legitimate tower; it is enough to show that if two consecutive turtles $t_{i}$ and $t_{i+1}$ in that tower satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
W\left(t_{i+1}\right)+S\left(t_{i+1}\right)<W\left(t_{i}\right)+S\left(t_{i}\right) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

then these two turtles $t_{i}$ and $t_{i+1}$ can be permuted and still obtain a legitimate tower. If we prove this, we can then use the bubble sort to get the whole tower into a non-decreasing order.

So the original tower $\tau$ and the new tower $\tau^{*}$ obtained by swapping $t_{i}$ and $t_{i+1}$ look like this:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau & =\left\langle t_{1}, \ldots t_{i-1}, t_{i}, t_{i+1}, t_{i+2} \ldots, t_{m}\right\rangle \\
\tau^{*} & =\left\langle t_{1}, \ldots t_{i-1}, t_{i+1}, t_{i}, t_{i+2}, \ldots, t_{m}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

The only turtle which has more weight on its back in the new tower $\tau^{*}$ than it had in the (legitimate) tower $\tau$ is turtle $t_{i}$; thus, it is enough to show that the weight on its back is smaller than its strength, i.e., that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} W\left(t_{j}\right)+W\left(t_{i+1}\right)<S\left(t_{i}\right) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the original tower was legitimate, we have

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} W\left(t_{j}\right)+W\left(t_{i}\right) \leq S\left(t_{i+1}\right)
$$

Adding $W\left(t_{i+1}\right)$ to both sides of this inequality we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} W\left(t_{j}\right)+W\left(t_{i}\right)+W\left(t_{i+1}\right) \leq W\left(t_{i+1}\right)+S\left(t_{i+1}\right) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, we assumed that $W\left(t_{i+1}\right)+S\left(t_{i+1}\right)<W\left(t_{i}\right)+S\left(t_{i}\right)$; see (1.2), thus by combining this with (1.4) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} W\left(t_{j}\right)+W\left(t_{i}\right)+W\left(t_{i+1}\right) \leq W\left(t_{i+1}\right)+S\left(t_{i+1}\right)<W\left(t_{i}\right)+S\left(t_{i}\right) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can now cancel out $W\left(t_{i}\right)$ which appears on both sides of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} W\left(t_{j}\right)+W\left(t_{i}\right)+W\left(t_{i+1}\right)<W\left(t_{i}\right)+S\left(t_{i}\right) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} W\left(t_{j}\right)+W\left(t_{i+1}\right)<S\left(t_{i}\right) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is precisely what we had to prove; see (1.3).
The above claim has the following important consequence: we can restrict ourselves to non-decreasing towers and still obtain an optimal solution.

Thus, we order turtles according to the sum of their strength plus their weight, i.e., assume that for all $i, j \leq n$

$$
\begin{equation*}
i<j \Rightarrow W\left(T_{i}\right)+S\left(T_{i}\right) \leq W\left(T_{j}\right)+S\left(T_{j}\right), \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and proceed by recursion along such an ordering.
Let us now first try to solve the problem using the trick which we have used before: for every $i \leq n$ we try to look for the tallest non-decreasing tower of turtles which ends with turtle $T_{i}$.

However, there is a problem: let $\left\langle t_{1}, \ldots t_{m}, T_{i}\right\rangle$ be the tallest legitimate tower ending with $T_{i}$ and with $\left\{t_{1}, \ldots t_{m-1}, t_{m}\right\} \subseteq\left\{T_{1}, \ldots, T_{i-1}\right\}$. Unfortunately, the tower $\left\langle t_{1}, \ldots, t_{m-1}, t_{m}\right\rangle$ might not be the tallest possible tower ending with turtle $t_{m}$; there might be a legitimate tower with at least $m+1$ turtles $\left\langle t_{1}^{*}, \ldots, t_{m}^{*}, t_{m}\right\rangle$ which ends with $t_{m}$, but such tower could be too heavy to put on top of $T_{i}$, i.e., tower $\left\langle t_{1}^{*}, \ldots, t_{m}^{*}, t_{m}, T_{i}\right\rangle$ might crack turtle $T_{i}$. Thus, optimal towers for $T_{i}$ might not be obtained by extending optimal towers for $T_{j}$ for some $j<i$. We need to generalise our problem more carefully to have the optimal substructure, i.e., to be able to build new optimal solutions from the previous ones.

To guarantee that optimal towers can be obtained from the previous optimal towers we build a sequence of lightest possible towers of every possible height. Thus, we solve the following generalisations of our problem, for every $j \leq n$ :

Subproblem $P(j)$ : For each $k \leq j$ for which there exists a tower of turtles of length $k$ built from turtles $\left\{T_{1}, \ldots, T_{j}\right\}$ (not necessarily containing $T_{j}$ ), find the lightest one.

Now the recursion works: assume that we have solved subproblem $P(i-1)$. To obtain the lightest tower $\theta_{k}^{i}$ of any length $k$ built from turtles $\left\{T_{1}, \ldots, T_{i}\right\}$ we look at the lightest tower $\theta_{k}^{i-1}$ of length $k$ and the lightest tower $\theta_{k-1}^{i-1}$ of length $k-1$ both built from turtles $T_{1}, T_{2}, \ldots, T_{i-1}$. If the tower obtained by extending $\theta_{k-1}^{i-1}$ with $T_{i}$ is both legitimate and lighter than $\theta_{k}^{i-1}$ we let $\theta_{k}^{i}$ be such a tower; otherwise we let $\theta_{k}^{i}=\theta_{k}^{i-1}$. This produces an optimal solution because if it is possible at all to place a tower of length $l$ on top of a turtle, then it is certainly possible to place the lightest tower of length $l$ on top of this turtle. Also, if the highest tower built from turtles $T_{1}, T_{2}, \ldots, T_{i-1}$ is of height $m$ and $T_{i}$ can extend it, we get the first possible legitimate tower of height $m+1$ built from turtles $T_{1}, T_{2}, \ldots, T_{i-1}, T_{i}$.

The solution to our problem is now obtained from the solution to $P(n)$ by picking the longest tower obtained by solving $P(n)$.

Exercise: Use a similar reasoning to solve the following problem: Given a sequence of $n$ numbers find the longest increasing subsequence in $n \log \mathbf{n}$ steps.

## 2 Minimizing Total Variation of a Sequence

The total variation $V(s)$ of a sequence of numbers $s=\left\langle x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k}\right\rangle$ is defined as follows: if $s$ has only one element, i.e., if $k=1$, then $V(s)=0$; if $k>1$ then

$$
V(s)=\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}\left|x_{i+1}-x_{i}\right|
$$

Assume that you are given a sequence of $n$ numbers $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots a_{n}$. Split such a sequence into two subsequences (preserving the ordering of elements) such that the sum of total variations of the two sequences is as small as possible, i.e., find subsequences $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\sigma_{1}=\left\langle x_{i_{1}}, \ldots x_{i_{k}}\right\rangle ; & i_{1}<i_{2}<\ldots<i_{k} \\
\sigma_{2}=\left\langle x_{j_{1}}, \ldots x_{j_{n-k}}\right\rangle ; & j_{1}<j_{2}<\ldots<j_{n-k} \\
\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{k}\right\} \cup\left\{j_{1}, j_{2}, \ldots, j_{n-k}\right\}=\{1,2,3, \ldots, n\}
\end{array}
$$

and such that $V\left(\sigma_{1}\right)+V\left(\sigma_{2}\right)$ is as small as possible.
Solution: This is another tricky one. One might be tempted to reason as follows. Let us try to solve recursively the following subproblems for all $m \leq n$ :

Subproblem $P(j)$ : Split the subsequence $s=\left\langle a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{m}\right\rangle$ into two sequences such that the sum of their total variations is minimal.

Assume that we have an optimal solution for the sequence $s=\left\langle a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{m}\right\rangle$ and that a such solution results in two subsequences, $s_{1}=\left\langle x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k}\right\rangle$ whose last element is $x_{k}$ for some $k<m$ and a sequence $s_{2}=\left\langle y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{m-k}\right\rangle$ whose last element is $y_{m-k}=a_{m}$; see Figure 2.1 (top).


Figure 2.1:
To obtain an optimal solution for the sequence $s=\left\langle a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{m}, a_{m+1}\right\rangle$ we look at the values of $\left|x_{k}-a_{m+1}\right|$ and $\left|y_{m-k}-a_{m+1}\right|$; if $\left|x_{k}-a_{m+1}\right|<$ $\left|y_{m-k}-a_{m+1}\right|$ then we add $a_{m+1}$ to $s_{1}$ by setting $x_{k+1}=a_{m+1}$; otherwise we
add $a_{m+1}$ to $s_{2}$ by setting $y_{m-k+1}=a_{m+1}$.
Unfortunately this does not necessarily produce an optimal solution for the sequence $s=\left\langle a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{m}, a_{m+1}\right\rangle$ for the following reason. There might be another, suboptimal way to split the sequence $s=\left\langle a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{m}\right\rangle$ into two sequences $\left\langle w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{i}\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{m-i}\right\rangle$, i.e., such that

$$
\sum_{u=1}^{i}\left|w_{u+1}-w_{u}\right|+\sum_{u=1}^{m-i}\left|v_{u+1}-v_{u}\right|>\sum_{u=1}^{k}\left|x_{u+1}-x_{u}\right|+\sum_{u=1}^{m-i}\left|y_{u+1}-y_{u}\right|
$$

but such that $\left|w_{i}-a_{m+1}\right|$ is much smaller than $\left|x_{k}-a_{m+1}\right|$ and $\left|y_{m-k}-a_{m+1}\right|$, so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{u=1}^{i}\left|w_{u+1}-w_{u}\right|+\sum_{u=1}^{m-i}\left|v_{u+1}-v_{u}\right|+\left|w_{i}-a_{m+1}\right|< \\
& \quad \sum_{u=1}^{k}\left|x_{u+1}-x_{u}\right|+\sum_{u=1}^{m-i}\left|y_{u+1}-y_{u}\right|+\min \left(\left|x_{k}-a_{m+1}\right|,\left|y_{m-k}-a_{m+1}\right|\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

see the bottom of Figure 2.1.
To solve this problem we generalize it to the following "two dimensional" problems for all $i<j \leq n$ :

Subproblem $P(i, j)$ : Split the subsequence $s=\left\langle a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{j}\right\rangle$ into two sequences such that one ends with $a_{i}$ and the other with $a_{j}$ such that the sum of their total variations is minimal.

To obtain a solution for the subproblem $P(i, j)$ from the previously obtained solutions we consider two cases.


Figure 2.2:

1. If $i<j-1$ (see Figure 2.2 top) then we simply extend the optimal solution for $P(i, j-1)$ by adding $a_{j}$ to the subsequence ending with $a_{j-1}$, because this is the only option, since the other sequence must have ended with $a_{i}$.
2. If $i=j-1$ (see Figure 2.2 bottom) then we consider optimal solutions for all subproblems $P(m, j-1)$ for all $m<j-1$ extending the subsequence ending with $a_{m}$ and choosing $m$ which results in the smallest total variation of such obtained sequences. We now compare such minimal value with a solution in which one sequence is the entire sequence $\left\langle a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{j-1}\right\rangle$ and the other sequence just the singleton $\left\langle a_{j}\right\rangle$ and pick the one which has the smaller total variance as the solution for the problem $P(i, j)$.

Exercise: Reduce the above solution to a "single dimension". (Hint: simply consider only problems of the form $P(i-1, i)$.

